

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

Date: April 24, 1992

Subject: Albion-Sheridan Landfill RI/FS budget questions

From: Mary Beth Novy, RPM MB7604

To: Liz Uhl, WWES

Just a few things I had questions or comments about concerning the budget:

- 1. On the summary sheet, task # 4011.06 should be Project Management, not Planning.
- 2. In the budget for the geophysical survey, why are there three round trips listed under expenses?
- 3. In the test pits budget, are the hours for oversight accurate? This would be 20 hours per pit. Also, why is there per diem and lodging listed under the bid solicitation review and award?
- 4. Soil Vapor budget. Do we want to consider reducing the amount of soil vapor work planned as a contingency, or if we did end up doing this work would we do a full-scale study?
- 5. Drilling budget. What are the assumptions here? Number of wells, hours per well, etc. The supervision hours indicate that drilling would take 17 weeks, which is different than what is indicated in the schedule. Also, does the lump subcontract listed account for both subcontracts?
- 6. Ground Water Sampling budget. Does this include the field screening?
- 7. Preliminary RI Report budget. What is the "technologies" section?
- 8. It seems that the risk assessment budget and FS budget could be cut a little given the municipal landfill guidance and the streamlining effort. Does the Feasibility Study Report budget include hours for revisions? There is no differentiation here as there is for the RI Report.
- 9. Hours should be added for post-RI/FS support. 300 LOE would probably be sufficient. This would include any technical assistance with the proposed plan (layout and copying would be included in the Community Relations work assignment, I believe), ROD, and Responsiveness Summary.

That's all for now. Give me a call when you get a chance and we can discuss these questions. Thanks.